

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/885,315

Applicant(s)

HAYASHI ET AL.

Examiner

Kristie D. Shingles

Art Unit

2141

**All Participants:**(1) Kristie D. Shingles, Examiner.(2) Dexter Chang, Atty.**Status of Application:** ALLOWED

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 19 July 2007**Time:** 3:00pm**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1, 10, 19 and 20 and Figures 1 and 2

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a


**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: With SPE approval, Examiner notified Atty. of the claims condition for allowance and requested permission to amend claims 1, 10, 19 and 20 to correct "from an outside" to "from outside" for claim clarification; and to amend Figures 1 and 2 to say "PRIOR ART". Atty authorized Examiner to amend the claims and figures accordingly.